United States Bankruptcy Court Western District of New York

The Diocese of Rochester,

Plaintiff Adv. Proc. No. 19-02021-PRW

The Continental Insurance Company,

Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF NOTICE

District/off: 0209-2 User: admin Page 1 of 4

Date Rcvd: Apr 25, 2023 Form ID: pdforder Total Noticed: 4

The following symbols are used throughout this certificate:

Symbol Definition

+ Addresses marked '+' were corrected by inserting the ZIP, adding the last four digits to complete the zip +4, or replacing an incorrect ZIP. USPS

regulations require that automation-compatible mail display the correct ZIP.

Notice by first class mail was sent to the following persons/entities by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center on Apr 27, 2023:

Recip ID Recipient Name and Address

ntcapr + Jeff Anderson & Associates, 366 Jackson Street, St. Paul, MN 55101-2989

+ Mark Plevin, Esq., Crowell & Moring LLP, 3 Embarcadero Center, 26th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94111-4069

pla + The Diocese of Rochester, 1150 Buffalo Road, Rochester, NY 14624-1890

TOTAL: 3

Notice by electronic transmission was sent to the following persons/entities by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center.

Electronic transmission includes sending notices via email (Email/text and Email/PDF), and electronic data interchange (EDI). Electronic transmission is in Eastern

Standard Time.

Recip ID Notice Type: Email Address Date/Time Recipient Name and Address

smg + Email/Text: ustpregion02.ro.ecf@usdoj.gov

Apr 25 2023 18:11:00 Office of the U.S. Trustee, 100 State Street, Room

6090, Rochester, NY 14614-1315

TOTAL: 1

BYPASSED RECIPIENTS

The following addresses were not sent this bankruptcy notice due to an undeliverable address, *duplicate of an address listed above, *P duplicate of a preferred address, or ## out of date forwarding orders with USPS.

NONE

NOTICE CERTIFICATION

I, Gustava Winters, declare under the penalty of perjury that I have sent the attached document to the above listed entities in the manner shown, and prepared the Certificate of Notice and that it is true and correct to the best of my information and belief.

Meeting of Creditor Notices only (Official Form 309): Pursuant to Fed .R. Bank. P.2002(a)(1), a notice containing the complete Social Security Number (SSN) of the debtor(s) was furnished to all parties listed. This official court copy contains the redacted SSN as required by the bankruptcy rules and the Judiciary's privacy policies.

Date: Apr 27, 2023 Signature: /s/Gustava Winters

CM/ECF NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

The following persons/entities were sent notice through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail (Email) system on April 25, 2023 at the address(es) listed below:

Name Email Addres

Adam Horowitz

on behalf of Creditor 31 Claimants adam@adamhorowitzlaw.com

Catalina Sugayan

on behalf of Defendant CX Reinsurance Company Limited catalina.sugayan@clydeco.us Nancy.Lima@clydeco.us

Catalina Sugayan

District/off: 0209-2 User: admin Page 2 of 4

Date Rcvd: Apr 25, 2023 Form ID: pdforder Total Noticed: 4

on behalf of Defendant Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London catalina.sugayan@clydeco.us, Nancy.Lima@clydeco.us

Catalina Sugayan

on behalf of Defendant The Dominion Insurance Company Limited catalina.sugayan@clydeco.us Nancy.Lima@clydeco.us

Catalina Sugayan

Catalina Sugayan

on behalf of Defendant Markel International Insurance Company Limited catalina.sugayan@clydeco.us Nancy.Lima@clydeco.us

on behalf of Defendant HDI Global Specialty SE catalina.sugayan@clydeco.us Nancy.Lima@clydeco.us

Catalina Sugayan

on behalf of Defendant Tenecom Limited catalina.sugayan@clydeco.us Nancy.Lima@clydeco.us

Catalina Sugayan

on behalf of Defendant Certain London Market Companies catalina.sugayan@clydeco.us Nancy.Lima@clydeco.us

Charles Edwin Jones
on behalf of Defendant Interstate Fire & Casualty Company charles.jones@lawmoss.com Brenda.murphy@lawmoss.com

Charles Edwin Jones
on behalf of Defendant National Surety Corporation charles.jones@lawmoss.com
Brenda.murphy@lawmoss.com

Charles J. Sullivan
on behalf of Plaintiff The Diocese of Rochester csullivan@bsk.com kdoner@bsk.com;csullivan@bsk.com;jhunold@bsk.com

Dirk C. Haarhoff
on behalf of Defendant Colonial Penn Insurance Company dchaarhoff@kslnlaw.com

Dirk C. Haarhoff
on behalf of Notice of Appearance Creditor Colonial Penn Insurance Company dchaarhoff@kslnlaw.com

Gerard Sweeney
on behalf of Creditor CC 403 gkosmakos@srblawfirm.com

Harris Winsberg
on behalf of Defendant Interstate Fire & Casualty Company hwinsberg@phrd.com

Harris Winsberg
on behalf of Defendant National Surety Corporation hwinsberg@phrd.com

on behalf of Creditor Committee Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors ischarf@pszjlaw.com

lcanty@pszjlaw.com;nrobinson@pszjlaw.com;bdassa@pszjlaw.com

on behalf of Notice of Appearance Creditor Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors ischarf@pszjlaw.com

lcanty@pszjlaw.com;nrobinson@pszjlaw.com;bdassa@pszjlaw.com

on behalf of Creditor Committee Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors jstang@pszjlaw.com

James R Marsh
on behalf of Creditor 31 Claimants jamesmarsh@marsh.law

Jeffrey Austin Dove
on behalf of Defendant The Continental Insurance Company jdove@barclaydamon.com

avrooman@barclaydamon.com,jeffrey-dove-1212@ecf.pacerpro.com

on behalf of Notice of Appearance Creditor Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors jbair@burnsbair.com

kdempski@burnsbair.com

on behalf of Defendant Interstate Fire & Casualty Company jbucheit@bradleyriley.com

John Bucheit

on behalf of Defendant National Surety Corporation jbucheit@bradleyriley.com

Judith Treger Shelton

Judith Treger Shelton

on behalf of Notice of Appearance Creditor Colonial Penn Insurance Company jtshelton@kslnlaw.com

Kaitlin M. Calov
on behalf of Notice of Appearance Creditor Colonial Penn Insurance Company kcalov@wwmlawyers.com

jvail@walkerwilcox.com

Kaitlin M. Calov
on behalf of Defendant Colonial Penn Insurance Company kcalov@wwmlawyers.com jvail@walkerwilcox.com

on behalf of Defendant Colonial Penn Insurance Company jtshelton@kslnlaw.com

Kathleen Thomas

Ilan D Scharf

Ilan D Scharf

James I. Stang

Jesse Bair

John Bucheit

District/off: 0209-2 User: admin Page 3 of 4

Date Rcvd: Apr 25, 2023 Form ID: pdforder Total Noticed: 4

on behalf of Creditor Certain Sexual Abuse Claimants kat@tlclawllc.com

Kathleen Dunivin Schmitt, 11

USTPRegion02.RO.ECF@USDOJ.GOV

Leander Laurel James, IV

on behalf of Interested Party Kenneth Cubiotti ljames@jvwlaw.net Lucia@jvwlaw.net

Matthew Roberts

on behalf of Defendant Interstate Fire & Casualty Company mroberts@phrd.com

Matthew Roberts

on behalf of Defendant National Surety Corporation mroberts@phrd.com

Matthew Griffin Merson

on behalf of Notice of Appearance Creditor Merson Law PLLC mmerson@mersonlaw.com

Michael Finnegan

on behalf of Notice of Appearance Creditor Jeff Anderson & Associates mike@andersonadvocates.com

therese@andersonadvocates.com,erin@andersonadvocates.com

Mitchell Garabedian

on behalf of Creditor MS 275 mgarabedian@garabedianlaw.com

Mitchell Garabedian

on behalf of Creditor BB 44 mgarabedian@garabedianlaw.com

Mitchell Garabedian

on behalf of Creditor SHC-MG-2 Doe mgarabedian@garabedianlaw.com

Mitchell Garabedian

on behalf of Creditor SM 247 mgarabedian@garabedianlaw.com

Mitchell Garabedian

on behalf of Creditor DG 278 mgarabedian@garabedianlaw.com

Mitchell Garabedian

on behalf of Creditor VJR 41 mgarabedian@garabedianlaw.com

Peter Garthwaite

on behalf of Defendant Tenecom Limited peter.garthwaite@clydeco.com

Peter P. McNamara

on behalf of Defendant Interstate Fire & Casualty Company peter.mcnamara@rivkin.com

Peter P. McNamara

on behalf of Defendant National Surety Corporation peter.mcnamara@rivkin.com

Robert P. Arnold

 $on \ behalf \ of \ Defendant \ Colonial \ Penn \ Insurance \ Company \ rarnold @walkerwilcox.com \ MZaiko @walkerwilcox.com$

Robert P. Arnold

on behalf of Notice of Appearance Creditor Colonial Penn Insurance Company rarnold@walkerwilcox.com MZaiko@walkerwilcox.com

MIZaiko@waikerwiicox.com

Russell Webb Roten

on behalf of Defendant Interstate Fire & Casualty Company RWRoten@duanemorris.com

Russell Webb Roten

on behalf of Defendant Certain London Market Companies RWRoten@duanemorris.com

Scott Michael Duquin

on behalf of Creditor Certain Sexual Abuse Claimants sduquin@hoganwillig.com smdlaw27@gmail.com

Shannon Anne Scott

on behalf of U.S. Trustee William K. Harrington shannon.scott2@usdoj.gov

Siobhain Patricia Minarovich

on behalf of Defendant Interstate Fire & Casualty Company siobhain.minarovich@rivkin.com

Siobhain Patricia Minarovich

on behalf of Defendant National Surety Corporation siobhain.minarovich@rivkin.com

Stephen A. Donato

on behalf of Plaintiff The Diocese of Rochester sdonato@bsk.com ayerst@bsk.com;kdoner@bsk.com

Steve Phillips

on behalf of Creditor LCVAWCR DOE sphillips@p2law.com

Timothy Patrick Lyster

on behalf of Interested Party Ad Hoc Parish Committee tlyster@woodsoviatt.com mjohnstone@woodsoviatt.com

Timothy W. Burns

District/off: 0209-2 User: admin Page 4 of 4
Date Rcvd: Apr 25, 2023 Form ID: pdforder Total Noticed: 4

on behalf of Notice of Appearance Creditor Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors tburns@burnsbair.com

kdempski@burnsbair.com

Todd Jacobs

on behalf of Defendant National Surety Corporation tjacobs@bradleyriley.com

Todd Jacobs

on behalf of Defendant Interstate Fire & Casualty Company tjacobs@bradleyriley.com

TOTAL: 58

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	RT
In re:	_

The Diocese of Rochester, aka the Roman Catholic Diocese of Rochester, Bankruptcy Case No. 19-20905-PRW Chapter 11

Debtor.

The Diocese of Rochester,

Plaintiff,

v.

Adversary Proceeding No. 19-2021-PRW

The Continental Insurance Company,
Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's, London,
The Dominion Insurance Company Limited,
Stronghold Insurance Company Limited,
CX Reinsurance Company Limited,
Markel International Insurance Company Limited,
Tenecom Limited,
National Surely Corporation,
Interstate Fire & Casualty Company,
Colonial Penn Insurance Company,
HDI Global Specialty SE,

Defendants.

DECISION AND ORDER DISSOLVING JUDICIAL STAY OF DEADLINES IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING AND TERMINATING MEDIATION ORDER

PAUL R. WARREN, U.S.B.J.

Interstate Fire & Casualty Company ("Interstate") has moved for an order terminating the judicial stay of this Adversary Proceeding, so that litigation of the insurance coverage action can go forward. The question presented is whether the Court should exercise its discretion and dissolve the judicial stay of this litigation and terminate its Mediation Order. The answer is yes.

Shortly after the Diocese filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, Continental Insurance ("CNA") requested that the automatic stay be lifted to allow CNA (and other interested insurance carriers—including Interstate) to commence a declaratory judgment action against the Diocese to obtain a determination of whether the carriers were liable under their insurance policies for the sexual abuse claims made against the Diocese. (ECF BK No. 136). Not only did the Diocese oppose the request of the carriers, it filed an Adversary Proceeding in this Court, seeking a declaratory judgment that the insurance policies issued by Continental, Interstate, and other named carriers did provide coverage to the Diocese for sexual abuse claims. (ECF BK Nos. 217, 218).

The Court declined to lift the automatic stay as requested by the insurance carriers, and instead strongly encouraged the parties to attempt the resolve the coverage issues—and seek a global resolution—through mediation (as had been successful in achieving settlements in nearly all prior Diocesan bankruptcy cases). To preserve the *status quo* in this Adversary Proceeding while mediation proceeded, the Court entered a "Case Management Order Temporarily Suspending Deadlines" for the parties to act under Rules 12-37 FRCP and Rule 7012-7037 FRBP, "until further order of this Court." (ECF AP No. 5). Shortly afterwards, the Court entered an "Order Directing Mediation and Appointing Mediator." (ECF AP No. 39). The Mediation Order echoed the Case Management Order, suspending all litigation deadlines in this Adversary Proceeding "until further Order of the Court." (*Id.*).

It was the Court's expectation that this Diocesan Chapter 11 case would promptly proceed toward a consensual global settlement, as had occurred in prior Diocesan bankruptcy cases over the past two decades where mediation was successful. Here, despite the herculean efforts of the mediator, Judge Zive, (joined two years later by Paul Van Osselaer (*see* ECF AP No. 200)), mediation failed to achieve a global settlement. Mediation did result in an initial proposed settlement between the Diocese and several of its insurance carriers. (ECF BK No. 1101; ECF AP No. 99). However, the Court declined to approve that settlement agreement over the objection of the

Creditors' Committee. (See ECF BK Nos. 1162, 1204, 1213; ECF AP Nos. 136, 151, 153). The parties returned to the mediation table and a second settlement agreement was reached between the Diocese and all of its insurance carriers in June 2022, subject to this Court's approval. (ECF BK No. 1538; ECF AP No. 190). The second settlement agreement provided for a fund of \$147,750,000 for the abuse victims. While the motion to approve the second settlement agreement was pending, the Diocese announced, in November 2022, that it had reached a "restructuring support agreement" with the Creditors' Committee, by which the Diocese and the Committee would seek approval of a Joint Plan of Reorganization. (ECF BK No. 1790). That Joint Plan was filed by the Diocese and the Committee on March 24, 2023, mirroring the earlier "restructuring support agreement." (ECF BK No. 2047).

While the Joint Plan includes funding from the Diocese, its parishes/schools, and several of its insurance carriers, it does not include funding from Interstate (or CNA). Instead, the Joint Plan proposes to assign the Diocese's claims against Interstate (and CNA) to a trust, which would then step into the shoes of the Diocese to pursue claims against those insurance carriers. Both the Diocese and the Committee loudly assert that the Joint Plan is "insurance neutral" and "does not affect Interstate's rights under its policies." (ECF AP No. 230 ¶ 12). Those assurances are belied by a more unvarnished statement by Jeff Anderson (a personal injury attorney representing the largest group of abuse victims in this Chapter 11 case): "The Plan provides for an assignment of insurance claims for non-settling insurers, including CNA [and Interstate] to a Trust, including the right to enter stipulated judgments that are enforceable against CNA [and Interstate]." (ECF BK No. 2072 ¶ 9 (emphasis added)). That seems to be a rather odd application of the concept of "neutrality."

And, so, after three and a half years of attempting to find a pathway to a consensual global resolution, according to the Diocese "[t]he Committee [perhaps at the behest of the personal injury attorneys] has indicated a strong . . . inclination to accept the risks of litigation in exchange for the potential for a greater recovery." (ECF AP No. 229 ¶ 18). "To the Diocese's knowledge, **no**

survivor has opposed the calculated risk that the Committee has approved in choosing to

litigate, rather than settle, the Insurance Claims." (Id. (emphasis added)). And, here is the rub.

Both the Diocese and Committee insist that any litigation to test the merits of the coverage defenses

potentially available to Interstate (and CNA) be held in abeyance until after confirmation of the Joint

Plan. Why? Because "adverse determinations on certain issues could establish defenses to coverage

resulting in a partial (or total) defeat of the Insurance Claims." (Id.). So, while the Committee and

the personal injury attorneys are willing (on behalf of the abuse victims) to assume the risks of

litigation, they take that calculated risk only as long as the confirmation process of the Joint Plan

(with its various proposed procedural tools promoting so-called "insurance neutrality") controls the

manner in which the critical issues concerning insurance coverage defenses are ultimately decided.

The Committee insists that the stay of litigation, concerning the merits of the defenses asserted by

Interstate, be stayed until after plan confirmation. But that date could be months (or years) in the

future. Meanwhile, the question of whether Interstate, CNA and other carriers have valid defenses to

the Diocese's declaratory judgment action remains unanswered. And, answering the coverage

question is critically important because if the Diocese and the Committee are wrong, the massive

insurance recovery that has been predicted by the Committee and the personal injury attorneys,

vanishes.

The judicial stay imposed by this Court concerning the insurance coverage litigation at issue

in this Adversary Proceeding, an exercise of the Court's discretion, was intended to permit the parties

to pursue settlement without the costs and animosity kindled by litigation. That purpose is no longer

served by continuation of the Court's litigation stay Orders. Settlement efforts have ended. The

harm to Interstate (and other non-settling insurers) by continuation of the Court's stay of litigation in

the Adversary Proceeding now substantially and manifestly outweighs any harm to the Diocese or

the abuse victims who, through their attorneys, have announced their intention to accept the

considerable risks of litigation. Moving forward with that litigation, with its associated costs, risks,

4

and plodding progress, is the necessary order of the day. It is now time for the insurance coverage

issues to be fully and fairly adjudicated in this Adversary Proceeding, and not as a backdoor adjunct

to the plan confirmation process. In the exercise of the Court's discretion under 11 U.S.C. § 105(a),

it is **ORDERED**, that:

1. The motion of Interstate (ECF AP No. 216) is **GRANTED**;

2. The judicial stay imposed by the Court under the Case Management Order (ECF AP No.

5) is dissolved and terminated in all respects;

3. The judicial stay echoed in the Court's Mediation Order (ECF AP No. 39) is dissolved

and terminated in all respects, and the mediation is terminated as having reached an

impasse;

4. All defendants named in the Adversary Proceeding are granted 30 days from entry of this

Decision and Order to answer, move, or otherwise appear in response to the Complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 25, 2023

Rochester, New York

/s/

HON. PAUL R. WARREN

United States Bankruptcy Judge

5